FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS / EVALUATION PACK

Evaluate a workflow your team needs to understand

A learning, operations and procurement worksheet for testing a representative decision and documenting what must be confirmed before an institutional deployment.

Who to involve

Bring the learning lead, a relevant desk or operations specialist, and the owners of procurement, technology and data review as needed.

Choose the product around the outcome

Product	Learning focus
CapitalBOS - Back Office Settlement Simulator	Settlement exceptions, control rationale and reconciliation
CapitalFIA - Fixed Income Analyzer	Fixed-income pricing and rate-risk interpretation
CapitalODA - Options & Derivatives Analyzer	Derivative sensitivities and hedge reasoning
CapitalPAS - Portfolio Analyzer	Allocation, concentration and portfolio-risk discussions
CapitalEQA - Equity Analyzer	Equity valuation assumptions and model interpretation

Facilitated trading and market experiences

For CapitalCMS - Capital Market Simulator, CapitalFITS - Fixed Income Trading Simulator, CapitalFTS - Forex Trading Simulator, or CapitalPMS - Portfolio Management Simulator, discuss availability, participant arrangements and facilitation separately.

Compare the full portfolio

TRY / OBSERVE / DISCUSS

Review an unresolved settlement exception

Use the fictional matched-but-unsettled trade example. Receiving instructions require verification and no movement is confirmed. Ask a participant to identify the evidence gap, explain the controlled review and distinguish release approval from settlement. Suggested planning time: 40-60 minutes; confirm the actual scenario rules in the demonstration.

Open the public example and worked method

Run the evaluation

1. Choose a team workflow and one decision that participants should explain.

2. Use fictional records and agree the evidence to collect; exclude client and account data.

3. Inspect the selected release with a facilitator, including access, exception handling and saved review where available.

4. Document capability gaps and procurement questions, then agree whether to investigate a bounded pilot.

Evidence to review

Operational reasoning. Participants identify missing evidence, explain controls and separate approval from confirmed movement and reconciliation.

Facilitator visibility. Inspect how an instructor reviews attempts, debriefs and available exports for the selected product and release.

Deployment review. Request the applicable security, privacy, retention, hosting and access information; do not infer these from the public examples.

Pilot scope. Agree participants, facilitators, concurrency, time period, support owners and acceptance criteria before wider use.

Use fictional inputs. Suggested timing is a planning estimate, not a prescribed course duration. These website illustrations are separate from the full products.

DECISION / OPEN QUESTIONS / NEXT STEP

Prepare the next conversation

1. Which team workflow and decisions are in scope?
2. Which evidence must the facilitator be able to inspect or export?
3. What security, privacy, retention and procurement review is required?
4. Which product capabilities, support arrangements and integrations need written confirmation?

Evaluation record

Product and learning outcome

Evidence observed and gaps

Decision: proceed / resolve gaps / defer

Decision owner and next review

Simulation evidence supports learning discussion and does not establish regulatory compliance or professional competence. Market examples are not comprehensive jurisdictional rulebooks. SSO, integrations and service levels require explicit confirmation.

Access and commercial scope must be agreed for the selected product. This worksheet is not a license, compliance attestation or guarantee of availability.

Plan an evaluation conversation

info@capitalwave.com

Read this pack online